## INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT- Event Violation Minerals Regulatory Program

	any/Mine: Dennison Excavating LLC #: S/039/0038	Violation # Note Issued	MC-2016-62-01		
		Date Issued _	//2//2010		
<b>A.</b>	SERIOUSNESS				
1.	What type of event is applicable to the rebelow and remember that the event is N				
	<ul> <li>X a. Activity outside the approved</li> <li>X b. Injury to the public (public saffer.)</li> <li>c. Damage to property.</li> <li>X d. Conducting activities without and the second seco</li></ul>	etv).			
Explan	nation: Mining was taking place outside of	f the permitted area, so b., d., an	nd e. are automatic.		
2.	Has the event or damage occurred? <u>Yes</u> If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).				
Explan	nation: Mining was taking place outside of	f the permitted area.			
3.	Did any damage occur as a result of the of the second occurred if the violation had not been disdamage and whether or not it would extend of the second occurred in the violation had not been disdamage and whether or not it would extend occurred in the second occurred in the	f the damage or impact. How no scovered by a DOGM inspector	? Describe this potential		
having occurre	nation: Approximately 4 acres had been did discovered the violation, more unpermitted. Some of the disturbance area associated rized as property development performed a	ed disturbance outside the permited with property perimeter roads	it boundary would have likely		
В.	<b>DEGREE OF FAULT</b> (Check the state	ements which apply to the viola	tion and discuss).		
_	Was the violation not the fault of the ope that the permittee is considered responsible				
Expla	nation:				
_ <u>X</u> _	Was the violation the result of not known regulations or the result of lack of reason		ndifference to DOGM		
needed of reas	nation: The operator was aware that Divi I. No submittal requesting expansion was onable care, at least, since in a stop work of sion. It was never given for the events for	received by the Division. The veconference, he reported thinking	violation was the result of lack g that he had the Division's		
_	If the actual or potential environmental hoperator, describe the situation and what				

Event	Violation Inspector's Statement	NOV/CO # <u>MC-201</u>	6-62-01		
Expla	anation:				
	Was the operator in violation of a specific permi	t condition?			
Expla	anation:				
-	Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so action taken.	, give the dates and the type of warni	ng or enforcement		
Expla	anation:				
<u>X</u>	Was any economic benefit gained by the operator	or for failure to comply? Y If yo	es explain.		
Expla acquire	anation: No amendment to the mine permit (included, allowing the operator to produce and sell more	ling a possible need to increase reclar rapidly.	mation surety) was		
<u>GOOI</u>	D FAITH (only if violation has been terminated	D			
1.	1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.				
	Explanation:				
2.	Explain whether or not the operator had the nece Explanation:	ssary resources on site to achieve cor	npliance.		
3.	Was the submission of plans prior to physical ac explain.	tivity required by this NOV/CO?	If yes,		
	Explanation:				
Peter	r Brinton				
	A Inspector Signature	Date			